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Leonard Cohen saw it coming . .

“Things are gonna slide,
slide in all directions,
Won’t be nothing,
nothing you can measure any more“

from ‘The Future’
The Death Throes of Quant?

• Quant meltdown, August 2007

• Massive failure of ‘risk systems’ used by banks - mostly based on VaR

• Regime shift in volatilities and correlations from October 2008 to the present
I Don’t Think So . . .

- August 2007 was mainly driven by liquidity and deleveraging by the investment banks
- Value at Risk is possibly the most stupid risk measure ever invented
  - you can’t adequately represent the risk structure of a portfolio by a single number
- Regime Shift: as Bob Dylan said - ‘Things Have Changed’ - but Quant still works.
The Growth of Quant

- The first successful Quant managers soon attracted a host of competitor/imitators
- The assets under management exploded
- But as August 2007 demonstrated clearly, most fund managers on this bandwagon were doing essentially the same things
- It seemed as if all Quant models consisted mainly of the ‘Usual Suspect’ factors
Maybe this is the Only Answer?

• Most Quants trawl through the same stock databases with basically the same tools

• Surprise! - they get very similar results

• The academic literature has long since identified the most common anomalies
  - Using the same databases and analytical tools

• Is that all there is?
 Probably Not

- The standard methods for testing stock selection factors were fixed in the 1980s
- We first built a 5-factor stock selection model for the S&P500 for Citibank in 1983
- Despite the enormous increase in the number of Quants, the stock selection factor testing methodologies haven’t changed very much . . . .
New Directions - New Factors?

• It is, of course, always possible that new factors will be discovered.
• However, given the huge numbers of Quants and Academics who have trawled through the data, this seems unlikely.
• Vendors are creating ‘better’ (usually proprietary) databases, which does at least raise the possibility of new results.
In our view, a lot of the analysis done so far is very simplistic, and offers a lot of scope for improvement

Such improvements might include:
- Sector-based local currency models
- Non-linear factor models
- Different investment horizons
- Other stuff we think is quite valuable - Sorry
And then there is Risk . . .

- . . which is observed mainly in the breach
- The reality is that most investment managers pay only lip service to Risk
- In most fund management firms, Risk and Performance departments are the same
- This tells you that ‘risk monitoring’ is regarded as a box-ticking, *ex post* activity
**Performance = Return and Risk**

- Successful investment strategies do not just consist of a good stock selection model.
- **Remember Markowitz** - Expected Return is supposed to be traded off against Risk to create and maintain efficient portfolios.
- **Remember Ben Graham** - “The essence of investment management is the management of risks, not the management of returns.”
What are Risk Models for?

• A standard, widely recognised risk model, such as Northfield’s, makes it easy to report risks and tracking errors to clients.

• But if a manager is using their own multi-factor stock selection model, it will be harder to manage the risk structure of the portfolio using a risk model that doesn’t incorporate the same factors.
Portfolio Risk Management

• Whatever your investment process, you need to be able to identify and quantify the bets you are making in your portfolio.
• It is just as important to be able to see the bets you did not intend to make, in order to be able to hedge them or diversify them.
• You cannot run an efficient portfolio without being able to manage its risks.
Skill vs Noise

- We used to be told that markets were so efficient that it was impossible to outperform.
- Any run of outperformance was down to luck.
- We were also told that it might take 30 years of data (a career lifetime) to demonstrate statistically that a manager actually had Skill.
- But this assumes that all the performance is due to Skill, and none to Noise.
Regime Shift

- We have been developing a number of different risk models recently
- Techniques that worked perfectly well in stable times turn out to have strange side effects when volatilities and correlations increase dramatically
- We have therefore had to develop some new techniques .. (e.g. the FactSet CHRM)
Currency Risks
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Sector Risks - 2

The chart shows the performance of various sectors from June 2007 to June 2009. The sectors include Health Services, Consumer Services, Retail Trade, Transport, Utilities, Communications, Non-Energy Minerals, Finance, and Producer Manufacturing. The data points are represented by lines with different colors, indicating trends and fluctuations in each sector over the specified period.
Statistical Factor Risks

- Statistical factor 1
- Statistical factor 2
- Statistical factor 3
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• Quant models are very useful when the underlying relationships can be clearly articulated and measured
• However, there are many aspects of fund management where this is not the case
• We may have a Quant multi-factor stock selection model, but take sector bets based on more fundamental views
Similarly, a model can be good at ranking a universe into deciles, but the (non-Quant) managers may know things about different stocks that are not captured by the model.

This is often reflected in the managers ’cherry-picking’ from the top few deciles.

We need to blend Quant and Fundamental approaches into a Hybrid approach.
The Future of Quant - 3

- In essence, consider ways in which Quant techniques could enhance a Fundamental manager’s portfolio performance.

- A simple Case Study will illustrate this idea.

- US Small Cap manager, good long-term track record, about $3 billion AUM, very Fundamental approach.
Case Study - 1

• The first task was to understand what the managers were doing to generate their performance
  - Screening on over 50 fundamental variables
  - Taking views on 26 customised sectors
  - Idiosyncratic knowledge of particular stocks

• The screening process can be turned into a Quant multi-factor stock selection model
Case Study - 2

• Although it is hard to model how the managers arrived at their sector views, it is possible to build a risk model with the 26 sector factors in it, to identify and quantify the sector bets being made.

• The idiosyncratic selection of particular stocks from the Buy List of the stock selection model was stock specific risk.
Case Study - 3

- We developed a stock selection model, using about half of the variables the managers had been using for screening.
- We then built a customised risk model, and helped them to design an appropriate portfolio implementation strategy.
- The resulting 130-30 fund was launched with a small amount seed capital last year.
## Performance Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>US QSC</th>
<th>US SC</th>
<th>R2500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Return</td>
<td>-23.29</td>
<td>-30.25</td>
<td>-40.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>+16.86</td>
<td>+9.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk p.a.</td>
<td>35.75</td>
<td>35.96</td>
<td>41.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.E. p.a.</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are actual returns since 25\textsuperscript{th} June 2008
Comments on Results so far

• The Quant-enhanced fund has slightly lower risk, but significantly higher return
• The manager’s flagship fund is long only
• Both funds are always over 95% invested
• In the 130-30 Quant fund, we use a small number of sector ETFs to manage the risk exposures of some of the sectors
• We can also run Performance Attribution
Performance Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Total Return</th>
<th>Average Return</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Information Ratio</th>
<th>Serial Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative Active Factor Returns</td>
<td>9.684</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>1.382</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Sector Factor Returns</td>
<td>3.370</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>1.269</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Factor Returns</td>
<td>13.054</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Returns</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Alphas</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>1.392</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>-0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Returns</td>
<td>14.493</td>
<td>1.318</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The table above covers the eleven 4-week periods from 25 June 2008 to 29 April 2009
Conclusion

• The point of the Case Study is to illustrate the principles of using Quant to enhance a Fundamental manager’s investment process

• Most managers do not use their insights into expected returns very efficiently, and most do not actually manage portfolio risk at all

• There is much scope for improvement, and Quants can provide this for them
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