

Subtleties of Risk Management for Long/Short Portfolios

Dan diBartolomeo

Northfield Information Services, Inc.

2002, European Seminar Series

14-October-2002, London

16-October-2002, Amsterdam

Major Points

- ◆ Hypothesis: Hedge funds and long/short portfolios have particular characteristics that makes risk management both more important and more difficult than traditional portfolios
- ◆ A lot of portfolio activity is based on anticipation of, or response to “news”
- ◆ Numerous quantitative methods already exist to address most of these issues

Here a Few Differences

- ◆ Leverage
- ◆ Short Positions
- ◆ High Frequency Trading
- ◆ Illiquid Positions
- ◆ Taxable Investors
- ◆ Non-linear Factor Behavior
- ◆ Multiple Asset Class Multiple Countries

Leverage Effects

- ◆ Traditional investor utility function of Levy and Markowitz assumes:
 - single period model with known parameters
 - investor is trying to maximize the expected value of wealth at the end of time
 - Bankruptcy risk is not an issue
 - Parametric assumptions
- ◆ Derived as a two term Taylor series expansion of log wealth utility
- ◆ For leverage accounts, “bankruptcy” risk is real, whether by investors withdrawing or removal of prime broker facility

Leverage Effects II

- ◆ VAR is inadequate, addresses only the risk of bankruptcy today
- ◆ Wilcox (2001) demonstrates that higher order terms matter much more for levered investors
 - Proposes to redefine utility as maximizing growth of discretionary capital (above the a floor on wealth)
- ◆ Kritzman and Rich (2001) propose a measure of “first passage” risk.
 - Analytically treat the problem like a knock-out option Risk is the probability of hitting the floor. You don’t get up again

Short Positions: Kritzman Again

- ◆ Multiple period compound returns have a lognormal distribution. With long only positions the skew is in the investors favor
 - Ten percent per period for 2 periods = 21% gain
 - Negative 10% per period for 2 periods = 19% loss
- ◆ With short positions, the skew is reversed
 - The 21% gain is now a 21% loss
 - The 19% loss is now a 19% gain
- ◆ As a short position goes bad, it becomes a larger portion of the portfolio

High Frequency Trading

- ◆ Higher frequency stock returns are “wilder” than longer term returns
 - Distributions have kurtosis
 - Returns are mean reverting (Lo & MacKinlay)
- ◆ Strategies are often driven by anticipation of, or rapid response to “news”
 - Totally unanticipated versus partially anticipated events
- ◆ If returns have serial properties (are not IID) then simple scaling of volatility from one periodicity to another is improper

Problems of Illiquid Securities

- ◆ Many hedge funds own illiquid securities without dealing with the associated risks
 - Remember David Askin? LTCM?
- ◆ Suggestion: Estimate cost of liquidating the entire portfolio in T periods. Use as a metric for liquidity risk
 - Lo and Bertsimas (1998) estimate for equities
 - Research also relates to optimal execution sequencing
 - SmartExecution.com

Hedge Funds Often Have Taxable Investors

- ◆ The problem of unrealized capital gains
 - Finding the balance between deferring gains to reduce current tax liability and locking up the portfolio with lots of unrealized gains it will be hard to trade out of
 - Formulating policy of fair distribution of tax responsibility between past and current investors
 - diBartolomeo (2001)
- ◆ After tax returns have a truncated dispersion relative to pre-tax returns
 - How do we set volatility risk policies with a mixed investor base

Non-Linear Factor Behaviors

- ◆ Second order factor returns are common
 - diBartolomeo and Warrick (2001)
- ◆ With long only portfolios, second order factor returns still impact the portfolio but to a reduced degree
- ◆ For long/short portfolios, second order effects cancel and the portfolio has no return process in operation
 - Consider a momentum strategy.
 - High momentum does well, negative momentum does well, the middle does poorly. Nothing happens.
 - Consider the reverse. Still nothing happens

Anticipated Versus Unanticipated Events

- ◆ Abraham and Taylor, “Pricing Currency Options with Scheduled and Unscheduled Announcement Effects on Volatility”, Managerial and Decision Science 1993
- ◆ Kwag, Shrieves and Wansley, “Partially Anticipated Events: An Application to Dividend Announcements”, University of Tennessee Working Paper, March 2000
- ◆ Ederington and Lee, “Creation and Resolution of Market Uncertainty: The Importance of Information Releases, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, 1996
- ◆ Vast majority of news events are anticipated

GARCH Models & Variations

- ◆ Chowdury, “Stock Return Volatility and World War II: Evidence from GARCH and GARCH-X Models”, International Journal of Finance and Economics, 1997
- ◆ Chong, Ahmad and Abdullah, “Performance of GARCH Models in Forecasting Stock Market Volatility”, Journal of Forecasting, 1999
- ◆ BARRA risk models
- ◆ RiskMetrics risk services

Implied Volatility

- ◆ Ederington and Guan, “Is Implied Volatility and Informationally Efficient and Effective Predictor Future Volatility”, University of Oklahoma Working Paper, January 1998
- ◆ Shu, Vasconellos and Kish, “The Information Content of Implied Volatility: An International Investigation of Index Options”, Lehigh University Working Paper, April 2001
- ◆ Malz, “Do Implied Volatilities Provide Early Warning of Market Stress?”, *Riskmetrics* Working Paper, February 2000

Malz's Point

- ◆ Scenario #1, asset with price of \$1 today. Two future states each with 50% probability, \$.90 or \$1.10. Implied volatility is 10% per period
- ◆ Scenario #2, asset with price of \$1 today. Three future states: \$.90 ($p = .45$), \$1.10 ($p = .45$) and \$.50 ($p = .1$). Implied volatility is 17.75%, a 78% increase

Other Interesting Literature

- ◆ Corrado & Su, “Implied Volatility Skews and Stock Returns, Skewness and Kurtosis Implied by Stock Option Prices”, European Journal of Finance 1997
- ◆ Baturek, Chowdury and Mac, “Implied Volatility Versus GARCH”, Managerial Finance 1995
- ◆ Jiltsov, “Implied State Price Densities: Predictive Power, Stability and Information Content”, London Business School Working Paper, September 1999
- ◆ Ederington and Guan, “Measuring Implied Volatility: Is an Average Better?”, University of Oklahoma Working Paper, August 2000

Implied Volatility Dominates GARCH

- ◆ Events that are anticipated in time have distinct time series patterns of trading activity. Hull Trading study on earnings announcement days
 - In anticipation of events, volume and volatility dry up. People wait for the news
 - GARCH models follow the volatility trend and reduce expected volatility going into the event
 - Event day is volatile (factor of 9 intra-day) and GARCH vastly increases volatility estimate for the day after the event, but its too late, the game is over
 - Implied volatility gets it right> Option traders aren't dumb
- ◆ Bill Gates gets hit by a bus
 - Remember Jiltsov

The Short Term Model

- ◆ Take 250 trading days of returns for US stocks
- ◆ Correct for serial correlation and heteroskedasticity
- ◆ Estimate blind factors using iterated factor analysis
- ◆ Use implied volatility to adjust factor and specific variances
 - This a tricky bit, requiring cross-sectional regressions with constrained coefficients
- ◆ Implied volatility data on individual stocks is suspect due to thin trading

Model Nuances

- ◆ Conditional mean variance estimation
- ◆ Adjust factor and security specific variances for serial correlation, see Parkinson (1980)
- ◆ Make factor and security specific variances consistent with observed extreme values

Volatility Estimates on an Airline Portfolio

- ◆ 42 stocks, capitalization weighted
- ◆ 9/10, 9/17, 11/30 Some Key Dates
- ◆ 589, 2755, 1145 Factor Variance
- ◆ 98, 161, 177 Specific Variance
- ◆ 26, 54, 35 Total Risk

Driven by Noise?

	31 August	30 September
P&C Insurance	13.04	16.87
Manufacturing	20.88	19.38
Foods	11.56	11.31

Dealing with Multiple Asset Types in Multiple Markets

- ◆ Approach 1: use separate models of separate markets and combine with a grand covariance matrix
 - We see this as problematic
 - Has some advantages
- ◆ Parsimonious model of EE
 - The “atomic” security approach
 - inspired by Arrow and Debreu

Conclusions

- ◆ Hedge funds and long/short portfolio have some properties that make risk management both more necessary and more complex
- ◆ Techniques exist to handle most of the risk and liquidity related problems exist
- ◆ An increasing body of literature supports analytical use of implied volatility in forecasting changes in market risk and their magnitude
- ◆ Integrating implied volatility into asset management models is practical but not trivial